1 Publication number:

0 201 017 A2

12	EUROPEAN	PATENT	APPLICATION
9			VILL FIOVIIOIA

- ② Application number: 86105801.4
- 22 Date of filing: 26.04.86

(5) Int. Cl.4: **C 12 N** 9/00, C 12 N 9/56, C 12 N 9/28

③ Priority: 06.05.85 US 730864

② Applicant: MILES LABORATORIES, INC., 1127 Myrtle Street, Elkhart Indiana 46514 (US)

- Date of publication of application: 12.11.86

 Bulletin 86/46
- (7) Inventor: Brothers, Charles Everett, 25481 Jefferson Center Street, Cassopolis Michigan 49031 (US) Inventor: Kim, Cohong Yol, 2623 California Road, Elkhart Indiana 46514 (US)
- 84 Designated Contracting States: AT BE CH DE FR GB IT LI LU NL SE
- Representative: Dänner, Klaus, Dr. et al, c/o Bayer AG Konzernverwaltung RP Patentabteilung, D-5090 Leverkusen 1 Bayerwerk (DE)
- Process to solubilize enzymes and an enzyme liquid product produced thereby.
- This invention relates to a novel process for the recovery of enzymes obtained from enzyme-producing microorganisms, and to the liquid enzyme product recovered by this process. Typically, the enzyme-containing filtrate from a fermentation of an enzyme-secreting microorganism is concentrated and a precipitation agent such as a salt or an organic solvent is added to the concentrate, thereby forming a cake. Then, a polyol solvent is circulated through the cake to solubilize the enzyme or enzyme complex from the cake and provide a liquid enzyme product. Particularly effective is propylene glycol as the polyol solvent. The liquid enzyme product may be shipped as is or subjected to further treatment to remove the solvent and create an essentially solvent-free enzyme product. The process is especially effective for the recovery of alkaline protease or alpha amylase.

0201017

- 1 -

PROCESS TO SOLUBILIZE ENZYMES AND AN ENZYME LIQUID PRODUCT PRODUCED THEREBY

This invention relates to a novel process for the recovery of an enzyme liquid product. The enzymes contemplated are those provided by enzyme-producing microorganisms, whether intracellular or extracellular. More particularly, the invention contemplates solubilizing or dissolving a precipitated enzyme or enzyme complex in a polyol solvent. The invention is particularly effective for the recovery of alkaline protease or alpha amylase in a liquid product form.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Enzymes behave as biocatalysts, regulating

many of the chemical reactions that naturally occur
in living organisms. When isolated, enzymes also
have many industrial, as well as medical uses. For
instance, enzymes are used in the tanning industry
and the detergent industry. Moreover, enzymes have

5

many uses in the food industry, such as in the manufacture of cheese and alcoholic beverages.

In general, the traditional method in the production of enzymes has been to dissolve the 5 enzyme in a water solution. Water, however, evaporates easily. Some enzymes, especially alkaline protease, are known to be potential health hazards to workers, and accordingly, it is desirable to keep them solubilized, i.e., prevent drying 10 and/or dust formation. Dust and aerosols containing such enzymes can produce bronchial allergic reactions in sensitized persons. See, Flindt, "Pulmonary Disease Due to Inhalation of Derivatives of Bacillus Subtilis Containing Proteolytic Enzyme", The Lancet, from the Department of 15 Occupational Health, University of Manchester, pages 1177-1184, (June 14, 1969). Moreover, enzymes such as alkaline protease (AP) easily precipitate out of a water solution. industrial production of such enzymes has been 20 difficult due to their crystallization during the concentration steps employed in the traditional methods of production. These problems produced erratic yields and processing delays.

Thus, researchers had sought methods to keep enzymes, especially those that produce allergic reactions, dissolved in a closed system during processing. Nothing in the prior art, however teaches or suggests the use of a solvent other than

water (or water with minor additives) to solubilize precipitated enzymes.

The prior art discloses that organic solvents, such as propylene glycol (PG), ethylene glycol (EG), and polyethylene glycol (PEG), may be em-5 ployed during enzyme preparation. For instance, U.S. Patent 4,497,897 discloses extraction of proteinase from Subtilisin Carlsberg using a solution of PG doped with carboxylate salt and 10 calcium salt. U.S. Patent No. 3,242,056 discloses a process employing aliphatic polyols in the preparation of lysozyme to promote heat stability in the lysozyme final product. U.S. Patent No. 3,147,196 discloses a process in which tannin is 15 added to an acidic enzyme-containing solution, and then the tannin-precipitated enzyme is extracted with an aqueous solution, which may contain PG or EG. However, tannin also ends up in the aqueous extract which is undesirable since tannin negatively interferes with the end use of the 20 enzyme. Thus, additional processing is required so that the result is a solid, enzyme final product that is tannin-free. Also, U.S. Patent No. 3,440,143 discloses extracting enzymes from plant 25 tissue with an aqueous solution containing 0.5-5% of a high molecular weight PEG having at least 25 ethylene units to precipitate the phenols naturally present in plant tissue. None of the literature, however, suggests or discloses the present novel

discovery of employing a novel solvent to prepare a solution of the enzyme.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides for a process for the recovery of an enzyme product wherein the 5 enzyme is provided by an enzyme- containing solution obtained from an enzyme- producing microorganism, said process comprising (a) adding a precipitation agent to the enzyme-containing solution to form a cake containing an enzyme or 10 enzyme complex which is essentially insoluble in the solution and precipitates therefrom, (b) separating the cake containing the enzyme or enzyme complex from the solution, and (c) contacting the cake with a polyol solvent to solubilize the enzyme 15 or enzyme complex from the cake to provide a polyol solution of the enzyme or enzyme complex, whereby a liquid enzyme product is recovered. Step (a) may be optionally preceded by concentrating the enzymecontaining solution, such as by evaporation or 20 ultrafiltration. Also, step (c), may be optionally preceded by removing excess mother liquor to provide a relatively drier cake containing the enzyme or enzyme complex.

0201017

OBJECT AND ADVANTAGES

Accordingly, it is an object of the present invention to prepare commercially acceptable enzymes in a safer manner with good yield and satisfactory purity. The invention affords several advantages. Not only do workers like the ease of handling a liquid product as opposed to the difficulty of handling dry enzyme solids, but also they like avoiding inhaling enzyme dust. For instance, since polyols are hygroscopic and have a low vapor pressure, they do not evaporate as easily as water. Thus, a spill of a polyol solution of enzyme will not so readily produce enzyme dust if allowed to dry unnoticed as a water solution of enzyme would.

Furthermore, an ancillary advantage of the present invention is that polyols also have characteristics known to contribute to enhanced heat stability such as is disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 3,242,056 mentioned above.

Moreover, because undesired, inadvertent enzyme crystallization has been avoided, this new method has significant economic advantages, which can be seen from the Chart below. This Chart is intended for illustrative purposes only and is not to be construed as required for teaching how to practice the present invention.

5

10

15

20

0201017

CHART

RECOVERY PROCESS FOR

ALKALINE PROTEASE LIQUID PRODUCT

Comparison of Present Invention (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8) with Traditional Method (1,2,3,4,5A,6A,7A)

- 1. Fermentor
- 2. Drop tank
- 3. Drum filter
- 4. Ultrafiltration
- 5A. Evaporation: slow; 10 high energy costs; enzyme crystallization probable

5

- 5. Na₂SO₄ precipitation of AP-containing cake
- possible loss of 15 solid AP
- 6. Separating cake with plate frame filter*
- 7A. Formulation: relatively crude AP preparation
- 6A. Polishing: slow; 7. Extraction by recycling PG** through APcontaining cake collected on the plate frame filter
- since impurities 20 have been concentrated with AP
- 8. Formulation of PG extract***
- many impurities discarded in filtrate
- continuously ≥ 5 recycles; volume of PG is about 1/10 of volume prior to precipitation in No. 5 above
 - substantially purer enzyme product at higher yield of AP

The Chart illustrates an embodiment of the present invention, wherein the enzyme alkaline protease (AP) is extracted with propylene glycol (PG), as compared to the traditional method of enzyme preparation. A culture of an enzyme-5 secreting microorganism is grown in a fermentor (Step 1). Then, the fermentation products are moved from the fermentor into the drop tank (Step 2). A flocculant may be added in the drop tank to 10 aid in removing solids thereby producing an enzyme-containing solution that is run through a drum filter (Step 3). The enzyme-containing solution then may be concentrated, usually by a factor of 2 using ultrafiltration (Step 4). Next, 15 Na₂SO₄ is added to precipitate a cake containing the enzyme (Step 5) and liquor is removed from the cake with a plate frame filter (Step 6). liquor carries with it many impurities, such as colorants, odors, et cetera. In the present 20 invention the enzyme is handled in a liquid phase, i.e., it is dissolved in a polyol solvent which is PG in this Diagram (Step 7). Thus, the present invention avoids the possibility of undesired crystal formation as in the traditional method 25 (Step 6A). Accordingly, worker exposure is minimized since the enzyme is in an enclosed system (Step 7) essentially until final recovery. Depending on desired end use, the liquid PG extract of AP may be marketed as is, or formulated (Step 8),

which typically involves dilution with a compatible solvent such as water. Thus, from the Chart, it can be seen that the equipment, manpower and energy intensive steps of the traditional method are avoided by the present method. A substantially purer enzyme product results. It is now possible to obtain a liquid AP product with consistency and in good yield, which was not possible with traditional processing.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

In general, the present invention will work with any enzyme provided by an enzyme-containing solution obtained from an enzyme-producing microorganism. The enzyme may be intracellular or extracellular. A solution of an intracellular enzyme may be obtained by any of various, known methods to rupture the cell membrane, such as using detergents, sonication, milling, grinding, osmotic pressure, lysis, and the like, to release the intracellular enzyme from the cells, followed by removal of the cell debris.

Preferably, the enzyme is an extracellular enzyme provided by an enzyme-containing solution produced by the fermentation in a nutrient growth medium of enzyme-secreting microorganisms, such as bacteria, yeast, or fungi, followed by removal of the nutrient growth medium. The invention works

5

10

15

20

especially well with enzymes selected from proteases, amylases, amyloglucosidases, lypases, and oxidases. In the preferred embodiment, the fermentation product, alkaline protease, is employed, which is useful in several industries, particularly the detergent industry.

After the microorganism produces the enzyme, typical processing involves conventional methods, such as filtration or centrifugation, to separate the solids and/or cell debris from the solution containing the enzyme. It is not necessary but it is preferred at this point that this solution containing the enzyme is then concentrated by at least a factor of 2 by means such as ultrafil—tration or evaporation.

Next, a precipitation agent, such as a salt or a low molecular weight organic solvent is added to the enzyme-containing solution, or in the preferred embodiment where there has been concentration, then to the concentrated solution. Addition of the precipitation agent causes the enzyme and/or enzyme complex to precipitate, and a "slurry" or "cake" is produced. Throughout the description and claims, the term "cake" may be used interchangeably with the term "slurry", and it is intended to include those instances where the "cake" is so wet that it would be considered a "slurry". The cake containing the enzyme or enzyme complex is then separated from the remaining solution. Usually this

5

10

15

20

separation is achieved by filtration and the filtrate containing impurities may be considered waste. If there is still excess mother liquor in the cake, it can be substantially removed from the slurry or cake by employing any of several methods. For instance, the excess mother liquor may be removed by additional regular filtration or by a pressure differential (such as suction filtration), gravity sedimentation, or centrifugation. The removal may be followed by a water wash and air blowing, providing a relatively drier cake.

The precipitation agents employed in the present invention are innocuous. By the term "innocuous" it is intended to mean that the precipitation agents contemplated by this invention (1) do not destroy the enzyme of interest, (2) do not negatively influence the end use of the enzyme product, and (3) do not require extensive additional processing to remove. It is unnecessary that the enzyme product be free of the precipitation agent. Thus, the precipitation agents contemplated by the present invention are other than those such as tannin, disclosed in the abovementioned U.S. Patent 3,147,196. The presence of tannin in the enzyme product is very undesirable because tannin interferes with the availability of active enzyme sites. The precipitation agents contemplated by the present invention are broadly useful for many enzymes.

5

10

15

20

It is preferred to employ a salt as the precipitation agent in the present invention, but low molecular weight organic solvents will work well too as long as they are compatible with the particular polyol employed for solubilizing the enzyme. Preferred organic solvent precipitation agents are methyl ethyl ketone, acetone, methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol, isopropanol, tert-butanol, n-butanol, dimethyl formamide, dimethyl sulfoxide, monoethyl ether of ethylene glycol, monomethyl ether of ethyl glycol, and the like.

Organic solvent precipitation agents may be added to the solution containing the enzyme in a volume amount of 2 to 3 times the volume of the enzyme-containing solution. In a preferred embodiment with ethanol as the precipitation agent, the enzyme-containing solution is first concentrated by a factor of two and the volume of ethanol is 2.5 times the volume of the concentrated enzyme-containing solution.

If a salt is used as the precipitation agent, it should be selected from the Group I metal salts, the Group II metal salts, the corresponding ammonium salts of the Group I or II metal salts, or mixtures thereof. It is preferred that the valency of the anion of the salt be divalent or higher. Preferred are the phosphate, sulfate, and citrate salts. The especially preferred salts are sodium phosphate, ammonium phosphate, sodium citrate,

5

10

15

20

sodium sulfate and ammonium sulfate. Potassium and cessium salts may also be employed, but of course these are more expensive. Sulfate salts are most desirable. Salt precipitation agents may simply be added to the solution containing the enzyme, in the amount of 5-50% weight/volume of salt agent to enzyme-containing solution. More preferably, the salt agent is added in the amount of 12-25% weight/volume. Also, the salt agent may be dissolved in water and the aqueous solution added.

Next, a polyol solvent, which is PG in the preferred embodiment, is circulated through the cake in order to solubilize and recover the enzyme and/or enzyme complex from the cake. It is intended here that the term "to solubilize" means the same thing as the term "to dissolve" or "to extract" and the terms may be used interchangeably. Also, the term "polyol solvent" as used here is intended to mean 100% polyol, essentially 100% polyol, or a polyol-containing solution wherein the polyol is in combination with a compatible co-solvent.

The polyols contemplated in this invention comprise low molecular weight polyethylene glycol and the $\rm C_2$ through $\rm C_8$ alcohols having at least two OH groups. $\rm C_2\text{-}C_8$ alcohols with more than two OH groups, such as glycerol, may be employed, but it is preferred that there be present only two OH groups. It is especially desirable that these two

5

10

15

20

OH groups be present on adjacent carbon atoms in the chain, and that the ${\rm C_2-C_8}$ alcohol be aliphatic and have a straight carbon chain. Suitable polyols include, for example, ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, glycerol, the low molecular weight (about 900 or less) polyethylene glycols, and mixtures thereof.

The polyol may be in solution with a cosolvent for the enzyme, said co-solvent being compatible with the polyol. The co-solvent of 10 course may be water but also may be selected from organic solvents such as acetone, methyl ethyl ketone, methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol, isopropanol, tert-butanol, dimethyl formamide, dimethyl sulfoxide, monomethyl ether of ethylene glycol, monoethyl 15 ether of ethylene glycol, and the like. If the polyol is used in solution with a co-solvent, it is preferred that the polyol be present in an amount of at least 20% by volume, and more preferably 50%. Higher concentrations of polyol, up to 100% polyol 20 with no co-solvent, may also be advantageously employed. Also, the amount of co-solvent may depend on the co-solvent used. For instance, ethanol may also be used as a precipitation agent, i.e. in step (a) of the Summary of Invention mentioned supra. 25 Thus, too much ethanol as a co-solvent with the polyol may cause precipitation rather than solubilization of the enzyme.

The polyol solvent may be circulated through the enzyme-containing cake once, but preferably it is recirculated through the cake at least twice to enhance extraction of the enzyme. It is particularly desirable to employ at least 5 recirculations, and up to as many as 100, or more recirculations may be advantageously employed. The result is a liquid enzyme product, which is a polyol solution of the enzyme or enzyme complex. salt precipitation agent has been used, the resultant polyol solution of the enzyme or enzyme complex may be cooled to a temperature in a range between room temperature and the freezing point of this solution to cause excess salt to precipitate. In a preferred embodiment with alkaline protease, the cooling is down to approximately 16°C.

Depending on the desired end use, the polyol solution of the enzyme or enzyme complex may be used as is, as a liquid enzyme product, or the solvent may be substantially removed so that the enzyme by itself may be used. Removal of the solvent may be achieved by one or more known techniques or combinations thereof, thereby providing a substantially solvent-free enzyme product. One such technique is ultrafiltration, and another is reprecipitation of the enzyme followed by filtration and/or centrifugation to remove liquid.

The present invention also contemplates re-slurrying of the cake in the polyol solvent, but

5

10

15

20

more safety features result from recirculating the polyol solvent through the cake. Recirculation typically occurs in a closed system, i.e. the polyol solvent may be flowing through a pipe. On the other hand, when a cake is re-slurried, it is exposed to air and could become too dry, thereby subjecting the worker handling it to inhalation of enzyme dust. Nevertheless, an advantage of the present invention is that even if the closed system becomes exposed to the air, the chance of the cake drying unnoticed and producing dust is minimal since polyols are hygroscopic.

Depending on the enzyme, adjusting the pH toward the acid range during recirculation or reslurrying may enhance extraction. A minor amount of an acid such as acetic, sulfuric or hydrochloric may be advantageously employed for pH adjustment.

Any polyol extract may be formulated, if desired. A preferred method involves extraction with propylene glycol as the polyol solvent and then formulating the PG extract by diluting it with a co-solvent such as diluting it with water to 30% volume PG extract and 70% volume H₂O. Any of the other co-solvents mentioned above may also be employed in formulating the extract. The reason for formulating is to cut the enzyme activity down to whatever is desired depending on the end use of the liquid enzyme product. Care must be taken not to use too much co-solvent during the formulation

5

10

15

20

- 16 - 0201017

or the enzyme may precipitate instead of remaining in solution.

In another preferred embodiment, the volume of the enzyme-containing solution or concentrated solution immediately before the step of adding the precipitation agent as compared to the volume of the polyol solvent that is circulated through the cake is in a ratio of approximately 30:1 to 2:1, and more preferably 10:1.

The following examples illustrate the preferred embodiments of the present invention, and are not intended to limit the claims to the embodiments disclosed in the examples. The examples illustrate preferred embodiments employing alkaline protease and alpha-amylase both of which are fermentation products of Bacillus licheniformis.

Fermentation of Bacillus licheniformis to Produce Alkaline Protease

Media suitable for the fermentation of alkaline protease for a 1000 liter fermentor are as follows:

5

10

15

	Soy Media		50-100	kg
	Sodium Citrate		4-5	kg
	Calcium Chloride Dihydrate	4-5	kg	
	A Starch		50-200	kg
5	Antifoam	_	235-280	ml
	α -amylase (TAKA-THERM® L-	170) ¹	40-55	gm
	Mono- and Disodium phospha		14-17	kg
	Water added to	1000	L total	volume

1. TAKA-THERM® is a trademark of Miles $10 \hspace{1cm} \text{Laboratories, Elkhart, Indiana, for a broad} \\ \text{class of carbohydrase enzymes.} \hspace{0.5cm} \text{The particular} \\ \text{TAKA-THERM used here is α-amylase.}$

The media was inoculated with viable cells of Bacillus licheniformis and allowed to ferment for 30 to 48 hours at 35-40°C. After this fermenta-15 tion, the broth was diluted with H_{2}^{0} by 50% of the initial drop volume and flocculated by a suitable flocculant to aid in biomass removal. The flocculated biomass was removed by centrifugation and the liquid passed through a precoated vacuum drum 20 filter to provide a cell-free filtrate. Detergent Alkaline Protease Units per milliliter (DAPU/ml) was determined by the Manual of Detergent Alkaline Protease Assay, and was between 60 and 70 DAPU/ml. 25

- 18 - 201017

Fermentation of Bacillus licheniformis to Produce Alpha-Amylase

Media suitable for the fermentation of alphaamylase for a 1000 liter fermentor are as follows:

5	Sodium Cit	trate	0-5	kg
	Calcium Cl	hloride	-	
	Dihydrate			kg
	Mono- and	Dipotassium		
	Phosphate		15-24	kg
10	Ammonium Sulfate		2-7	kg
	A Sugar		100-200	kg
	Cotton Seed Meal)		25-40	kg
	Soy Media		30-50	kg
	Antifoam		8-13	L
15	Water	added to	1,000 L tot	al volume

The media was inoculated with viable cells of Bacillus licheniformis and allowed to ferment for 70-90 hours at 40-45°C while maintaining the pH at approximately neutral. After this fermentation, the media was flocculated by a suitable flocculant to aid in biomass removal. The biomass was removed by centrifugation and the liquid passed through a drum filter to provide a cell-free filtrate. The Modified Wohlgemuth Units per milliliter (MWU/ml) was determined by the Manual Liquefying Alpha-Amylase Assay which is a modification of the

20

method disclosed by Wohlgemuth in <u>Biochem</u>. 29:1 (1908), and was between 100,000 and 120,000 MWU/ml.

EXAMPLE I

The filtrate (enzyme-containing solution) from the 1000 liter alkaline protease fermentation was 5 concentrated by ultrafiltration through PM-2 membranes down to about 500 liters of concentrate. The PM-2 membranes are polysulfone membranes supplied by Romicon Company. The shortened notation PM-2 is used to indicate the membrane is 10 permeable by substances having a molecular weight of approximately 2000 or less. Sodium sulfate was then dissolved in the concentrate in the amount of 17% weight/volume, resulting in a slurry of enzyme precipitate. FW-6 Dicalite™ admix (an inert 15 silaceous filter aid supplied by Eagle Pitcher Industries) was added to the slurry in the amount of 0.6% weight/volume of concentrate to enhance the rate of filtration. The slurried batch was filtered through a Sparkler™ apparatus. The Sparkler 20 filter apparatus is supplied by Sparkler Manufacturing Company of Conroe, Texas and some patents covering these filter apparatus are U.S. Patent 2,460,423, U.S. Patent 2,760,641, and U.S. Patent 2,639,251. A Sparkler apparatus employs horizon-25 tally disposed paper-type filters. Pressure was applied to remove excess mother liquor and provide

about 25 kg of filter cake containing the alkaline protease precipitate. Next, the cake was washed with a minimal amount of water and then blown with ambient air to displace the balance of the mother liquor. Next, 20 liters of propylene glycol were recirculated through the cake inside of the filter apparatus for 2.5 hours in order to dissolve the enzyme. During recirculation, the pH of the PG solution of alkaline protease was periodically adjusted with acetic acid to 6.2±0.2. Afterward, cold water was then run through the filter apparatus jacket reducing the temperature of the propylene glycol solution of enzyme to approximately 16°C. The cooling caused precipitation of excess sodium sulfate, thereby removing this excess from the propylene glycol solution containing the The result was an enzyme liquid product comprising a propylene glycol solution of alkaline protease. Recovery was calculated by assaying a small portion of the 500 liter concentrate and comparing that enzyme activity to the enzyme activity determined from assaying a small portion of the PG solution of the enzyme. Recovery of enzyme was 84%.

25 EXAMPLE II

The procedure of Example I was repeated, except that a plate-frame filter apparatus was

MS-1385

5

10

15

employed instead of a Sparkler[™] filter apparatus. A plate-frame filter apparatus employs vertically disposed cloth-type filters. No water wash was done in this apparatus. Recovery was calculated in the same manner as Example I and was 80%.

EXAMPLE III

The procedure of Example II was repeated, except that a filter-press apparatus was employed instead of a plate-frame filter apparatus, and after filtering the apparatus was cracked open enough to allow excess mother liquor to drain from the slurry, and then reclosed for extraction of the enzyme with PG. Recovery was calculated in the same manner as in Example I and was 74%.

15 EXAMPLE IV

The procedure of Example I was repeated, except that 22% weight/volume of ammonium sulfate was employed instead of the sodium sulfate.

Recovery was calculated in the same manner as Example I and was 85%.

EXAMPLE V

The procedure of Example I was repeated except that the filtrate from the 1000 liter alpha-amylase

MS-1385

5

10

0201017

fermentation was employed instead of the filtrate from the alkaline protease fermentation, and the amount of Na₂SO₄ was 22% weight/volume. Also, there was no pH adjustment with acetic acid.

Recovery was calculated in the same manner as Example I and was 84%.

EXAMPLE VI

The procedure of Example II was repeated except that the filtrate from the 1000 liter alpha-amylase fermentation was used instead of the filtrate from the alkaline protease fermentation and 22% weight/volume Na₂S0₄ was used. Also, there was no pH adjustment with acetic acid. Recovery was calculated in the same manner as Example I and was 84%.

EXAMPLE VII

The procedure of Example III was repeated except that the filtrate from the 1000 liter alpha-amylase fermentation was used instead of the filtrate from the alkaline protease fermentation and the amount of Na₂SO₄ was 22% weight/volume. Also, there was no pH adjustment with acetic acid. Recovery was calculated in the same manner as Example I and was 84%.

5

10

15

EXAMPLES VIII - XV

The procedures of Examples I-VII, respectively, were repeated, except that instead of circulating the PG through the cake in the filter apparatus, the cake was removed from the filter apparatus and re-slurried in the PG. Recoveries were calculated in the same manner and were approximately 82% to 89%.

EXAMPLE XVI

10 The procedure of Example I was repeated,
except that 1250 liters of ethanol were employed as
the precipitation agent instead of the Na₂SO₄,
resulting in a slurry of an enzyme precipitate.
Thus, it was unnecessary to cool to remove excess
15 Na₂SO₄. Also, the ethanol kept the extraction
sufficiently acidic so that no pH adjustment with
acid was necessary. Recovery was calculated in the
same manner as in Example I and was 85%.

WE CLAIM:

- 1. A process for the recovery of an enzyme product wherein the enzyme is provided by an enzyme-containing solution obtained from an enzyme-producing microorganism, said process comprising;
 - (a) adding an innocuous precipitation agent to the enzyme-containing solution to form a cake containing an enzyme or enzyme complex which is essentially insoluble in the solution and precipitates therefrom;
 - (b) separating the cake containing the enzyme or enzyme complex from the solution; and,
 - (c) contacting the cake with a polyol solvent to solubilize the enzyme or enzyme complex from the cake to provide a polyol solution of the enzyme or enzyme complex, whereby a liquid enzyme product is recovered.
- 2. The process of Claim 1, wherein the contacting with polyol solvent in step (c) is achieved by circulating a solution containing at least 20% by volume polyol in combination with a co-solvent for the enzyme at least once through the filter cake.
- 3. The process of Claims 1 or 2, wherein the contacting with polyol solvent in step (c) is achieved by re-slurrying the cake with a solution containing at least 20% by volume polyol in combination with a co-solvent for the enzyme.

- 4. The process of any of the Claims 1 to 3, wherein the co-solvent is acetone, methyl ethyl ketone, methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol, isopropanol, t-butanol, n-butanol, dimethyl formamide, dimethyl sulfoxide, monoethyl ether of ethylene glycol, monomethyl ether of ethylene glycol, water, or a mixture thereof.
- 5. The process of any of the Claims 1 to 4, wherein the contacting with polyol solvent in step (c) is with essentially 100% polyol.
- 6. The process of any of the Claims 1 to 5, further including (d) formulating the polyol solution of the enzyme or enzyme complex by dilution with water or an organic solvent on a volume/volume basis in the range of 99-30% polyol solution of enzyme or enzyme complex and 1-70% water or organic solvent.
- 7. The process of any of the Claims 1 to 6, wherein the enzyme-producing microorganism is <u>Bacillus</u> licheniformis.
- Q. The process of any of the Claims 1 to 7, wherein the enzyme is selected from the group consisting of proteases, amylases, amyloglucosidases, lypases, and oxidases.
 - 9. The process of Claim 2, 3, or 5, wherein the polyol employed is a low molecular weight polyethylene glycol, a $\rm C_2\text{--}C_8$ polyol, or a mixture thereof.

10. The process of Claim 9, wherein the polyol is glycerol, ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, polyethylene glycols having a low molecular weight of about 900 or less, or a mixture thereof.